

HAMPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

Officer Decision Record

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| Decision Maker: | Director of Economy, Transport and Environment |
| Title: | Transport Capital Programme Delegated Amendments |
| Date: | December 2020 |

Contact name:

Tel: 0370 779 0492

Email: maria.golley@hants.gov.uk

1. The decision:

1.1. That the Director approves the recommended delegated decisions set out in Appendix 1.

2. Reason for the decision:

2.1. To formally amend the capital programme and record delegated decision on projects that require:

(a) entry into the programme up to £250,000 in value, or fully externally funded (no upper limit). (Fin.Reg. 2.27a)

(b) additional capital resources on existing schemes up to £250,000 (increase value), or fully externally funded (no upper limit) (Fin.Reg. 2.27a)

(c) approval to spend, procure, or to enter necessary contracts on schemes below £250,000 in value. (Fin. Regs 2.27b)

(d) approval to spend up to an additional £250,000 capital on schemes. (Fin. Regs 2.27c & 2.29)

(e) deletion from the programme up to £500,000 in value (Fin.Reg. 2.28)

3. Other options considered and rejected:

3.1 Options on each individual project change are identified in the Appendix.

4. Conflicts of interest:

4.1. Conflicts of interest declared by an Executive Member who is consulted by the officer which relates to the decision: None

5. Dispensation granted by the Head of Paid Service:

5.1. None

6. Supporting Information:

6.1. None

Approved by:



Date:

04/01/2021

Stuart Jarvis

Director of Economy, Transport and Environment

HAMPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

Decision Report

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| Decision Maker: | Director of Economy, Transport and Environment |
| Title: | Transport Capital Programme Delegated Amendments |
| Date: | December 2020 |

Contact name:

Tel: 0370 779 0492

Email: maria.golley@hants.gov.uk

1. Executive Summary

- 1.1. The purpose of this paper is to obtain delegated approval to make a range of minor adjustments to the Integrated Transport Programme.
- 1.2. Appendix 1 includes amendments across the ETE Capital Programme, resulting in a net increase in capital approvals totalling £29,000.
- 1.3. The schemes included are at different stages of development and delivery. Some may now be complete and will relate to older programmes, some are part of the current year, others will relate to the provisional forward programme.

2. Context

- 2.1. Capital financial regulations set out where delegated decisions can be made on a programme-wide basis.
- 2.2. The “last programme values” are the latest formally reported approval value. For older schemes this is most likely a project appraisal figure. For the current year, most will be project appraisal figures, for future years this is most likely the last EMETE capital update or Project Appraisal figure.
- 2.3. Appendix 1 does not include changes to the programme already approved as a result of a delegated PA, as this change is inherent in the approval.

3. Background

- 3.1. This report is aimed at improving transparency of the various minor amendments that are made to the published capital programmes.
- 3.2. It is intended that all delegated capital decisions for ETE (not Project Appraisals) can be made through this simple report, however it does not restrict separate decision reports being prepared where deemed necessary.
- 3.3. A summary of the decisions made should be included in an appendix to the quarterly Executive Member capital programme updates.

4. Finance

- 4.1. The eleven recommendations; one new entry, nine amendments and one removal as detailed in Appendix 1, result in a net increase in capital approvals totalling £29,000.
- 4.2. Where necessary, funding has already been identified to cover increases to the approvals. In cases of decreasing capital allocations, where it has been reallocated this has been identified
- 4.3. An adjustment to the maintenance and revenue implications of any cost increases has not been undertaken. For schemes that are yet to have a PA, the maintenance costs and revenue implications will be calculated then, as usual.

5. Recommendation

- 5.1. That the Director approves the recommendations set out in Appendix 1.

CORPORATE OR LEGAL INFORMATION:**Links to the Corporate Strategy**

| | |
|--|-----|
| Hampshire safer and more secure for all: | Yes |
| Corporate Improvement plan link number (if appropriate): | |
| Maximising well-being: | Yes |
| Corporate Improvement plan link number (if appropriate): | |
| Enhancing our quality of place: | Yes |
| Corporate Improvement plan link number (if appropriate): | |
| | |
| | |

Other Significant Links

| | |
|---|-------------|
| Links to previous Member decisions: | |
| <u>Title</u> | <u>Date</u> |
| ETE Proposed Capital Programme 2020/21, 2021/22 and 2022/23 | 14/Jan/20 |
| Direct links to specific legislation or Government Directives: | |
| <u>Title</u> | <u>Date</u> |
| | |

Section 100 D - Local Government Act 1972 - background documents

The following documents discuss facts or matters on which this report, or an important part of it, is based and have been relied upon to a material extent in the preparation of this report. (NB: the list excludes published works and any documents which disclose exempt or confidential information as defined in the Act.)

DocumentLocation

Impact Assessments

1. Equalities Impact Assessment:

- 1.1. The proposals in this report have been developed with due regard to the requirements of the Equality Act 2010, including the Public Sector Equality Duty and the Council's equality objectives. The website contains a summary assessment of the impacts on developing Hampshire's highway network and transport systems.
- 1.2. Statutory considerations:

| Impact | |
|------------------------------------|------------------|
| Age | None |
| Disability | None |
| Sexual Orientation | None |
| Race | None |
| Religion and belief | None |
| Gender Reassignment | None |
| Sex | None |
| Marriage and civil partnership | None |
| Pregnancy and maternity | None |
| Other policy considerations | |
| Poverty | None |
| Rurality | None |
| Other factors | None |
| Geographical impact | All of Hampshire |

2. Impact on Crime and Disorder:

3. Climate Change:

How does what is being proposed consider the need to adapt to climate change, and be resilient to its longer-term impacts? Climate change impacts are considered at time of Project Appraisals for schemes.

DELEGATED DECISIONS REQUIRED

| | | |
|--|--|----------------------------|
| 2020/21 | NFDC: Ringwood Town Centre Improvements Phase 2 | |
| Requirement for change | | |
| <p>CMS 3469</p> <p>Following a recent request by Highways England, this scheme is to be increased in value by £200,000 due to the need of additional SSE electrical diversion works. These works will now be combined with the planned pedestrian and cycling accessibility improvements to be undertaken by Hampshire County Council.</p> <p>The increased scheme value caused by the additional costs of the utility diversion to be funded by Highways England.</p> | | |
| Other options considered and rejected | | |
| To not increase the value of this scheme but it was deemed advantageous to combine both sets of works to reduce disruption to residents. | | |
| Last programme value | Change required | New programme value |
| £200,000 | +£200,000 | £400,000 |
| Recommendation 1 | | |
| To increase the value of this scheme to £400,000. | | |

| | | |
|---|--|----------------------------|
| 2020/21 | EHDC: Whitehill & Bordon GGGL: Budds Lane Southern Shared-use Footway | |
| Requirement for change | | |
| <p>New entry to 2020/21 capital programme - CMS 3537</p> <p>This scheme is to deliver a new shared use pedestrian and cycle facility on the south side footway of Budds Lane. Developer section 278 works are currently ongoing on Budds Lane which will deliver sections of shared use facility around new development access junctions. This represents a timely opportunity for HCC to deliver the remainder of the facility along the relevant part of Budds Lane to provide route continuity in coordination with the developer works.</p> <p>These works are to be fully funded by EM3 LEP grant.</p> | | |
| Other options considered and rejected | | |
| To not include this scheme in the capital programme however this scheme will add value to the Whitehill and Bordon programme of works. | | |
| Last programme value | Change required | New programme value |
| £0 | +£245,000 | £245,000 |
| Recommendation 2 | | |
| To include this scheme in 2020/21 capital programme. | | |

| | | |
|--|---|----------------------------|
| 2019/20 | FBC: A27 and Portchester Precinct, Portchester | |
| Requirement for change | | |
| <p>CMS 2771</p> <p>This scheme will now be incorporated as part of the wider Transforming Cities Fund (TCF) Tranche 2 programme of works. The original works relating to the provision of a signalised crossing on the A27, will now be incorporated and delivered as part of the Portchester District Centre Bus and Pedestrian improvements (CMS 3065).</p> <p>Therefore, the capital programme entry for A27 and Portchester Precinct, Portchester will be removed.</p> | | |
| Other options considered and rejected | | |
| To keep this scheme as a separate piece of work however as that is not the best use of resources, it would be better to combine it with the TCF scheme. | | |
| Last programme value | Change required | New programme value |
| £600,000 | -£600.000 | £0 |
| Recommendation 3 | | |
| To remove this scheme from the 2019/20 capital programme due to duplication with CMS 3065. | | |

| | | |
|---|--|----------------------------|
| 2020/21 | EBC: West End High Street Improvements Access | |
| Requirement for change | | |
| <p>CMS 2650</p> <p>This scheme is being deferred to 2021/22 capital programme as although work has progressed on this scheme, delivery is now unlikely to take place this financial year. This is due in part to resources being diverted to other higher priority projects, as a result of the Covid-19 outbreak.</p> <p>Member has been informed.</p> | | |
| Other options considered and rejected | | |
| To not defer the scheme. However, this would not provide sufficient time to properly develop a successful scheme. | | |
| Last programme value | Change required | New programme value |
| £250,000 | £0 | £250,000 |
| Recommendation 4 | | |
| To defer this scheme to 2021/22 capital programme. | | |

| | | |
|---|--|----------------------------|
| 2020/21 | TVBC: Walworth Roundabout / A3093 / A3057 | |
| Requirement for change | | |
| <p>CMS 2613</p> <p>This scheme is being deferred to 2022/23 capital programme as although work has progressed on this scheme, delivery is now unlikely to take place this financial year.</p> <p>Local member informed.</p> | | |
| Other options considered and rejected | | |
| To not defer the scheme. However, this would not provide sufficient time to properly develop a successful scheme. | | |
| Last programme value | Change required | New programme value |
| £850,000 | £0 | £850,000 |
| Recommendation 5 | | |
| To defer this scheme to 2022/23 capital programme. | | |

| | | |
|--|--|----------------------------|
| 2020/21 | EHDC: A339 / B3349 Junction Improvements, Alton | |
| Requirement for change | | |
| <p>CMS 2768</p> <p>This scheme is being deferred to 2022/23 capital programme as although work has progressed on this scheme, delivery is now unlikely to take place this financial year. This is due in part to resources being diverted to other higher priority projects, as a result of the Covid-19 outbreak.</p> <p>Local member informed.</p> | | |
| Other options considered and rejected | | |
| To not defer the scheme. However, this would not provide sufficient time to properly develop a successful scheme. | | |
| Last programme value | Change required | New programme value |
| £970,000 | £0 | £970,000 |
| Recommendation 6 | | |
| To defer this scheme to 2022/23 capital programme. | | |

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| 2020/21 | BDBC: Manydown Cycles, Basingstoke | |
| Requirement for change | | |
| <p>CMS 3197</p> <p>This scheme is being deferred to the 2021/22 capital programme as although work has progressed on this scheme, delivery is now unlikely to take place this financial year. This</p> | | |

is due to the suspension of this scheme to allow resources to be re-prioritised on delivering emergency schemes in response to the Covid-19 outbreak.

Local member informed.

Other options considered and rejected

To not defer the scheme. However, this would not provide sufficient time to properly develop a successful scheme.

| Last programme value | Change required | New programme value |
|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| £1,200,000 | £0 | £1,200,000 |

Recommendation 7

To defer this scheme to 2021/22 capital programme.

| 2020/21 | RBC: A323 High Street/Ash Road, Aldershot footway/cycleway | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------|----|------------|
| Requirement for change | | | | | | | | |
| CMS 3229 | | | | | | | | |
| <p>This scheme is being deferred to the 2022/23 capital programme as although work has progressed on this scheme, delivery is now unlikely to take place this financial year. This is due to the suspension of this scheme to allow resources to be re-prioritised on delivering emergency schemes in response to the Covid-19 outbreak.</p> <p>Local member informed.</p> | | | | | | | | |
| Other options considered and rejected | | | | | | | | |
| To not defer the scheme. However, this would not provide sufficient time to properly develop a successful scheme. | | | | | | | | |
| <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Last programme value</th> <th>Change required</th> <th>New programme value</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>£1,000,000</td> <td>£0</td> <td>£1,000,000</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | | | Last programme value | Change required | New programme value | £1,000,000 | £0 | £1,000,000 |
| Last programme value | Change required | New programme value | | | | | | |
| £1,000,000 | £0 | £1,000,000 | | | | | | |
| Recommendation 8 | | | | | | | | |
| To defer this scheme to 2022/23 capital programme. | | | | | | | | |

| 2020/21 | EHDC: Whitehill Bordon: Route towards Lindford | |
|--|--|--|
| Requirement for change | | |
| CMS 3305 | | |
| <p>This scheme is being deferred to 2021/22 capital programme as although work has progressed on this scheme, delivery is now unlikely to take place this financial year. This is due in part to resources being diverted to other higher priority projects, as a result of the Covid-19 outbreak.</p> <p>Local member informed.</p> | | |
| Other options considered and rejected | | |

| | | |
|---|------------------------|----------------------------|
| To not defer the scheme. However, this would not provide sufficient time to properly develop a successful scheme. | | |
| Last programme value | Change required | New programme value |
| £575,000 | £0 | £575,000 |
| Recommendation 9 | | |
| To defer this scheme to 2021/22 capital programme. | | |

| | | |
|--|---|----------------------------|
| 2020/21 | EBC: Botley Village Enhancements | |
| Requirement for change | | |
| CMS 3178 | | |
| This scheme is being deferred to 2023/24 capital programme as delivery of the scheme is dependent on the Botley Bypass scheme being completed. | | |
| Local member informed. | | |
| Other options considered and rejected | | |
| To not defer the scheme. However, this would not provide sufficient time to properly develop a successful scheme. | | |
| Last programme value | Change required | New programme value |
| £414,000 | £0 | £414,000 |
| Recommendation 10 | | |
| To defer this scheme to 2023/24 capital programme. | | |

| | | |
|---|--|----------------------------|
| 2019/20 | BDBC: Whitchurch Accessibility and Traffic Measures | |
| Requirement for change | | |
| CMS 2760 | | |
| Increase the value of scheme by £184,000 due to the additional costs incurred related to design, management and consultation for multiple site locations. The cost estimate has also been revised in line with Gen 4 rates. | | |
| The increased value to be funded by S106 contributions. | | |
| Other options considered and rejected | | |
| To not increase the value of the scheme. However, it was deemed that this was not possible if the works were to be fully implemented. | | |
| Last programme value | Change required | New programme value |
| £388,000 | +£184,000 | £572,000 |
| Recommendation 11 | | |
| To increase the value of this scheme to £572,000. | | |